UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,867	10/03/2006	JIE ZHANG	56815-0800	6394
30734 BAKER & HOS	7590 10/21/200 STETLER LLP	EXAMINER		
WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100			TRAN, QUOC DUC	
1050 CONNECTICUT AVE. N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/562,867	ZHANG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Quoc D. Tran	2614		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MORE OF T	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration. or election requirement. ner.			
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2006</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/562,867 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant admitted prior art in view of Grinn et al (2002/0102962).

Consider claims 1 and 5, Applicant admitted prior art disclosed a conventional [wireless] system and method for implementing prepaid data services in a mobile communication network, comprising a Radio Network (RN), a Packet Data Service Node (PDSN), a Home Authentication Authorization and Accounting (HAAA) server, a Prepaid Server (PPS)/Content Provider Gateway (CP GW), a Mobile Switching Center (MSC) and a Service Control Point (SCP), the RN further comprising a Base Station Controller (BSC)/Base Transceiver Station (BTS) and a Packet Control Function (PCF) module for data services, the BSC/BTS being connected to both the MSC and the PCF module, the MSC being connected to the SCP by means of a No. 7 signaling network, the PCF module being connected to the PDSN, the PDSN being connected to the HAAA via an IP network and the HAAA being connected to the PPS/CP GW (see Fig. 1 and Background of the invention).

The conventional system and method disclosed do not suggest of a Data service Access Control Point (DACP) for fulfilling price confirmation function and fee application function for data services, the DACP being connected to both the PPS/CP GW and the SCP. However, Grinn

Application/Control Number: 10/562,867 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

et al teach an integrated accounting processor (305) for providing both circuit-switched call (i.e., voice calls) and packet-switched calls (i.e., data calls) where the integrated accounting processor 305 is connected between the WPNS-PPN (corresponding to PPS/CP GW) and the SCP (*it should be noted that the prepaid processor of the circuit-switched is implemented in the SCP or service node (see par. 0009)*) of the wireless intelligent network (see Fig. 3, par. 0031).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implementing the teaching of Grinn et al into the conventional wireless system to combine prepaid service for both circuit-switched network and packet-switched network in order to provide integrated service for the subscriber.

Consider claims 2-3 and 6-10, Grinn et al teach the claimed features (par. 0031, 0035, 0043-0046).

Consider claim 4, Grinn et al teach the claimed feature (par. 0031).

Consider claim 11, Grinn et al teach the claimed features (par. 0030, 0040).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop _____(explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to: Customer Service Window Application/Control Number: 10/562,867 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Quoc Tran** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7511**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached on **(571) 272-7499**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-2600**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Quoc D Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614 October 18, 2008